

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,118	07/31/2003	Michael W. Lassota	FET-19	3943
James W. Potth	7590 07/09/200 ast		EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Potthast & Associates			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
10606 Doorpath Road Woodstock, IL 60098			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1761	:
				,
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,118	LASSOTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
_						
· <u> </u>	- ,					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-26,28-31,33,37-39,46,91-93,95-</u>	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-26,28-31,33,37-39,46,91-93,95-99,101-106 and 108-124</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-26,28-31,33,37-39,91-93,97-99,101,104,106 and 108-123</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>102,103,105 and 124</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r ·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/631,118

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 102, 103, 105 and 124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Warne.

There is disclosed in Warne a brewing apparatus, comprising: a heating element 44; a plurality of valves 64, 70, 82; a manual control system 42 comprising: means 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114 for selectively operating the apparatus in accordance with a "normal" operations mode in which the heating element and the plurality of valves may be operated in accordance with a normal operation program; means 104, 106, 108, 110, 112, 114 (same as above but used with secondary functions) for selectively operating teh apparatus in a "program mode" in which operational parameters are changed (col. 13); a "self-diagnostic mode" (col. 13, lines 41-43); and a demonstration mode in which different water delivery pulses may be used; and a display panel 100.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 8-26, 28-31, 33, 37-39, 91-93, 97-99, 101, 104, 106 and 108-123 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1761

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 April 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Warne reference fails to make mention of a "demonstration mode". The demonstration mode has been cited in the rejection as being the mode in which different water pulses may be used. Applicant has failed to structurally differentiate the claimed demonstration mode from the prior art modes of operation. It should also be noted that applicant's attempt to use operational language to define the various modes, is not structurally limiting.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla 28 June 2007 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761